

[Name: Dragan Dakić NEPTUN code: IA865Y]

Consultant: Dr. Judit Zeller

Research topic: The Ethical and Legal implications of Techno-Creation

**Requirements of the complex exam**

a) **Branch of studies: Philosophy of Law**

**Theses:**

1. Current ethical and legal concepts (relating to capacities of the parties, determinants of moral and legal status) cannot adequately regulate Techno-Creation for reproductive and research purposes.
2. Techno-Creation requires re-conceptualisation of autonomy in reproductive sphere.
3. European and International Law frameworks restrict required scope of the re-conceptualisation of reproductive autonomy.
4. The Ethics of Techno-Creation should be deontological rather than ontological.
5. The concept of moral dignity supports deontology of the ethics of Techno-Creation.

[More theses can be added. The exam shall not include less than 5 theses.]

b) **Literature:**

1. Beyleveld David and Brownsword Roger, *Human Dignity in Bioethics and Biolaw* (Oxford: Oxford University Press 2001).
2. DeGrazia David, *Creation Ethics: Reproduction, Genetics, and Quality of Life* (Oxford University Press 2012).
3. Karnein Anja, *A Theory of Unborn Life: From Abortion to Genetic Manipulation*, (Oxford University Press 2012).
4. Kate Greasley, *Arguments About Abortion: Personhood, Morality, and Law* (Oxford University Press 2017).
5. Stephen Wilkinson and Eve Garrard, *Eugenics and the Ethics of Selective Reproduction* (Keele University 2013).
6. Andreia Martins Martinho, 'Overview of the Moral Status of iPS Cells', *The New Bioethics* (2016): 22(2).
7. Bortolotti Lisa, Disputes over Moral Status: Philosophy and Science in the Future of Bioethics, *Health Care Anal* (2007): 15,153–158 DOI 10.1007/s10728-006-0031-7
8. Cesar Palacios-Gonzalez, Human dignity and the creation of human–nonhuman chimeras, *Med Health Care and Philos* (2015) 18:487–499, DOI 10.1007/s11019-015-9644-7
9. Evie Kendal, The Perfect Womb: Promoting Equality of (Fetal) Opportunity, *Bioethical Inquiry* DOI 10.1007/s11673-017-9775-z
10. Judit Sándor 'Genetic Testing between Private and Public Interests: Some Legal and Ethical Reflections' *Front. Public Health* (2018) 6:8. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2018.00008
11. Laura Yenisa Cabrera Trujillo and Sabrina Engel-Glatzer, 'Human–Animal Chimera: A Neuro Driven Discussion? Comparison of Three Leading European Research Countries' *Sci Eng Ethics* (2015) 21:595–617, DOI 10.1007/s11948-014-9556-6

12. Marion Abecassis, 'Artificial Wombs: "The Third Era of Human Reproduction" and the Likely Impact on French and U.S. Law' *Hastings Women's Law Journal* (2016): 27(1).
13. Matti Häyry, 'Discursive Humanity as a Transcendental Basis for Cognitive (Dis)Ability Ethics and Policies' *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* (2016): 25.
14. Neal Mary, Respect for human dignity as 'substantive basic norm' *International Journal of Law in Context* (2014): 10(1).
15. Roberto Andorno, 'The dual role of human dignity in bioethics' *Medicine, Health Care & Philosophy* Published online: 16 December 2011.

[More literature can be added. The exam shall not be based on less than 15 academic sources. At the selection of the relevant literature, please pay attention that at least 3 and at most 5 monographs and at most an additional 12 shorter sources shall be indicated.]

Date: Pécs, 17 April 2018

.....  
Consultant's signature